

INS

NOTE: FIVE FULL COPIES NEEDED

**INTERNATIONAL NEUROPSYCHOLOGICAL SOCIETY
TWENTIETH ANNUAL MEETING
SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA, U.S.A.
FEBRUARY 5 - 8, 1992**

**Abstract Reproduction Form
Deadline For Receipt of Abstracts is June 28, 1991**

IMPORTANT
Instructions on the previous pages must be followed **COMPLETELY** for abstract to be published.

Type Abstract Here--Stay within border & 125 words. Symposium organizer should duplicate form & provide a 125 word abstract of each main speaker.

List name, address and telephone number of author who will be responsible for all communications to coauthors and will be listed in JCEN as contact for submission.

Name: Robert W. Butler, Ph.D.
Address: MSKCC, Pediatrics, Box 181, 1275 York Avenue
New York, NY, 10021

Telephone numbers: (include country, city/area code).
Office: (212) 639-2474
Home: (212) 888-5794

Abstracts that have been sent to other national societies or those published previously will not be considered by the Committee. Presentation at regional scientific meetings does not preclude presentation at the Annual Meeting. Authors are asked to exercise discretion in the number of papers submitted due to the difficulties of scheduling.

Check one

Symposium _____
Papers - (Preference) _____
Poster Session Presentation X
Oral Presentation _____
Will **not** accept alternate format _____
Student papers (first author) are eligible for Rennick Award. (Please check if eligible.) _____

Please check if responsible author is a Member of INS. X
(See above for meaning of responsible.)

R.W. Butler & M.A. Jenkins.
Ideational Fluency in Frontal Brain Disease.

Fluency measures involve assessing productivity under timed conditions. Thus far, neuropsychological paradigms for verbal and nonverbal fluency have been developed. We revised several tests of ideational fluency originally developed by Guilford, in order to make them timed measures. The measures require the production of remote and uncommon, but meaningful associations. Both verbal and nonverbal ideational fluency were assessed. These tests, along with several other neuropsychological measures were administered to 23 normal subjects and 17 subjects who had been diagnosed as having a frontal brain tumor. The frontal group obtained significantly fewer correct responses on most of the ideational fluency tests. This pattern appeared to be independent of simple verbal productivity as there were no significant differences in total number of responses to the ideational tests.

Submissions, which should include five (5) copies of all pages (pages 1 thru 3) are to be mailed to the Executive Secretary: Kenneth M. Adams, Ph.D., Psychology Service (116B), VA Medical Center, 2215 Fuller Road, Ann Arbor, MI 48105. Selection and assignment decisions are made by the Program Committee and are final. June 28, 1991 is the date for receipt.

Abstracts for this meeting will be printed in the Society's official journal, Journal of Clinical and Experimental Neuropsychology, Volume 13, Number 1.

In consideration of the Journal of Clinical and Experimental Neuropsychology reviewing and editing this submission, the author undersigned hereby transfers, assigns, or otherwise conveys on behalf of all authors ownership of this abstract to the INS in the event that such work is published by the Journal of Clinical and Experimental Neuropsychology. The author warrants that this abstract is original and holds the INS and its publisher harmless for any and all defects or claims arising in its publication.

Senior Author's Signature _____

Please Type Name Robert W. Butler, Ph.D.

For Index and Registration mailing purposes, all authors on a submission should be listed below. Last and First name in full with middle initial and full mailing address are needed. (See example)

Example (3 authors)

1. Jones, Byron P., Department of Neuropsychology, University of Calgary, 1200 Gerard Avenue, Calgary, Alberta, T1J 4Y9, CANADA.
2. Frank, Harvey D., Department of Psychology, Veterans Administration Medical Center, 1205 Smith Avenue, Salt Lake City, Utah, 71260, USA.
3. Jenkins, Paul R., Section on Neurolinguistics, University of Tilburg, Hogeschoollaan 225, 5000 LE Tilburg, The Netherlands.

-
1. Butler, Robert W., Department of Pediatrics, Memorial Sloan-Kettering Cancer Center, 1275 York Avenue, New York, New York, 10021, USA.
 2. Jenkins, Melissa A., Department of Psychiatry, University of California San Diego, La Jolla, California, 92093, USA.

Ideational Fluency in Frontal Brain Disease

A number of neuropsychological tests have been developed that are relatively sensitive to the integrity of the frontal lobes. One neuropsychological paradigm that has been used involves obtaining indexes of productivity under timed conditions. These paradigms have been labeled as measures of fluency. Verbal fluency, as assessed by the FAS test, may be related to left frontal functioning (Borkowski, Benton & Spreen, 1967). A nonverbal measure of productivity, the design fluency test, has also been developed and isolated results suggest that it may be reflective of right frontal functioning (Jones-Gotman & Milner, 1977). Guilford (1967) developed a number of measures of fluency that were used to assess his concept of divergent and convergent intelligence. These ideational fluency measures require the production of information that is relevant to a concept but may be more remote in nature. Thus, theoretically, ideational fluency may require greater information processing on the part of the individual. We revised several of Guilford's measures in order to make them timed tests. The tests were then administered to both normal subjects and patients with frontal lobe brain tumors. We hypothesized that the Guilford ideational fluency measures would also prove to be sensitive to the presence of frontal brain dysfunction.

Subjects.

Data were collected on 23 normal subjects and 17 patients that had been diagnosed as having a frontal brain tumor. The groups were not significantly different in age, education or digit span score from the WAIS-R. The normal subjects were screened for possible substance abuse and psychiatric disturbance by an MMPI derived classification schema (Butler, Jenkins & Braff, 1989).

Measures.

All subjects completed the Wisconsin Card Scoring Test (WCST), the FAS verbal fluency test, the nonverbal fluency test (free condition) and the Guilford measures. The Guilford tests were scored for total number of responses and number of acceptable, correct responses. These ideational fluency tests were administered under timed conditions and are briefly described below.

Possible Jobs - The person is provided an emblem on which an object is represented (e.g. light bulb, safety pin). The subject must name as many jobs as possible that the emblem might identify.

Alternate Uses - Given the name of a common object (e.g. shoe, pencil), the subject must identify uncommon, but possible uses.

Consequences - Given an unusual situation (e.g. People no longer need food to live), the subject must identify possible consequences. Responses are scored for either remote or obvious content.

Sketches - The subject is provided with a series of identical geometric designs and is required to alter them into recognizable drawings.

Making Objects - The subject is provided with different geometric shapes and required to use as many as possible in order to construct different objects.

Results.

The variables were analyzed using student *t* tests. Means, standard deviations and level of statistical significance are listed below. An alpha level of .01 was used as the criterion for statistical significance.

| | Normal (n = 23) | Frontal (n = 17) | |
|---------------------------|--------------------|---------------------|---------|
| Age | 33.2 (9.2) | 41.6 (13.6) | N.S. |
| Education | 14.7 (1.8) | 16.0 (3.8) | N.S. |
| Digit Span | 11.0 (2.3) | 9.0 (1.7) | N.S. |
| WCST | 6.8 (9.7) | 31.9 (29.9) | p < .01 |
| (Perseverative Responses) | | | |
| Verbal Fluency | 44.4 (8.8) | 24.6 (17.3) | p < .01 |
| Nonverbal Fluency | 21.9 (12.0) | 10.6 (5.7) | |
| Possible Jobs/Total | 29.4 (8.9) | 23.3 (11.4) | N.S. |
| Possible Jobs/Correct | 25.4 (8.2) | 14.2 (7.4) | p < .01 |
| Alternate Uses/Total | 27.1 (9.4) | 21.0 (10.7) | N.S. |
| Alternate Uses/Correct | 20.8 (9.1) | 12.1 (6.1) | p < .01 |
| Consequences/Total | 21.2 (7.2) | 14.5 (6.7) | N.S. |
| Consequences/Obvious | 10.1 (4.0) | 6.1 (2.7) | p < .01 |
| Consequences/Remote | 10.6 (4.5) | 4.8 (4.2) | p < .01 |
| Sketches/Total | 11.7 (4.0) | 12.9 (4.4) | N.S. |
| Sketches/Correct | 10.5 (3.5) | 8.1 (3.2) | N.S. |
| Making Objects | 33.2 (8.5) | 14.6 (13.0) | p < .01 |

Discussion.

Not unexpectedly, the frontal brain tumor group made significantly more perseverative responses on the WCST than the normal subjects. Of interest, however, is the large standard deviation of the frontal WCST scores. Approximately 50% of the frontal subjects performed within the normal range on this test. The frontal patients also scored significantly lower than the normals on the verbal fluency measure. Again, approximately 50% of the patients scored in the normal range on the test. The group difference on the nonverbal fluency test, however, did not reach statistical significance. The groups were not significantly different on overall productivity scores for the ideational fluency measures. This is somewhat curious given the group difference in verbal fluency. Also of note were the more homogeneous variances for the ideational fluency tests. The frontal group did produce significantly fewer scoreable responses to most of the ideational challenges. These results suggest that frontal brain dysfunction may be characterized by deficits in ideational fluency that are relatively independent of simple productivity. The diagnostic significance of these preliminary findings remains unknown. Additional research will be necessary in order to determine if these measures will add unique variance to current neuropsychological test batteries. Preliminary results do suggest that the concept of ideational fluency may be useful in extending our knowledge of frontal brain function.

REFERENCES

1. Borokoski, J.G., Benton, A.L., & Spreen, O. (1967). Word fluency and brain damage. *Neuropsychologia*, 135-140.
2. Butler, R.W., Jenkins, M. & Braff, D.L. (1989). On the abnormality of normal control groups. Paper presented at the 142nd annual meeting of the American Psychiatric Association, San Francisco, CA.
3. Guilford, J.P. (1967). *The Nature of Human Intelligence*: New York: McGraw-Hill.
4. Jones-Gotman, M., & Milner, B. (1977). Design fluency: The invention of nonsense drawings after focal cortical lesions. *Neuropsychologia*, 15, 653-674.